Interview Summary Application No. Applicant(s) 99/765,712 YOUNG, RANDY K. Examiner Art Unit FRESHTEH N. AGHDAM 2611

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) <u>FRESHTEH N. AGHDAM</u> . (3) <u>C</u>	hieh Fan.
(2) <u>Gunnar Leinberg</u> . (4)	<u>.</u>
Date of Interview: <u>25 February 2009</u> .	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☑ applicant 2)☑ app	licant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No lf Yes, brief description:).
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was	not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: an agreement was reached regarding the 112, 1st paragraph rejection on claims 56-60, 62, 65-68, 101-109, and 116-124. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	